Date: Sun, 6 Mar 94 20:12:13 PST

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #255

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Sun, 6 Mar 94 Volume 94 : Issue 255

Today's Topics:

5 by 5...

Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 05 March
FCC Exec fired for incompetance?
Further criminalization of scanning (2 msgs)
Icom Package For Sale
Information needed on Tandy 4000 computer
IPS Daily Report 03 03 94
JARGON

NUDE RADIO AMATEURS
PostScript log pages wanted
RST reports

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6 Mar 94 06:16:31 GMT

From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!

tcj@network.ucsd.edu Subject: 5 by 5... To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Joe Gregg (gregg@plains.nodak.edu) writes:

> Where did the phrase, "I read you five by five." come from

Alan Bloom (alanb@sr.hp.com) replies:

- > I believe it comes from the old days before the RST system was
- > invented.

Does anyone know when the RST system came into use or how its predecessor worked?

As an avid SWL as a kid, I remember including a SINPO report in my QSL requests to shortwave broadcasters. In retrospect, it seems to me that the five point rating scale used in a SINPO report (signal, interference, noise, propagation, and overall rating) would actually be more valuable on phone than an RS(T) report, especially in these times of modern technology, when the "S" rating is all too often the essentially meaningless reading from the respondent's S-meter.

Todd, KB6JXT

-----

Date: Sat, 5 Mar 1994 23:24:57 MST

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!math.ohio-state.edu!

cyber2.cyberstore.ca!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!alberta!adec23!ve6mgs!usenet@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 05 March

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

DAILY SUMMARY OF SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL ACT

05 MARCH, 1994

(Based In-Part On SESC Observational Data)

SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL ACT

\_\_\_\_\_\_

!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 064, 03/05/94 10.7 FLUX=095.7 90-AVG=106 SSN=086 BKI=1111 1112 BAI=003 BGND-XRAY=B1.1 FLU1=1.7E+06 FLU10=2.0E+04 PKI=1112 1123 PAI=005 BOU-DEV=009,006,005,006,009,009,009,015 DEV-AVG=008 NT SWF=00:000 XRAY-MAX= B5.7 @ 0750UT XRAY-MIN= A9.6 @ 1807UT XRAY-AVG= B1.7 NEUTN-MIN= -002% @ 1620UT NEUTN-AVG= -0.2% NEUTN-MAX= +002% @ 2355UT PCA-AVG= -0.0DB PCA-MAX= +0.1DB @ 1815UT PCA-MIN= -0.4DB @ 1010UT BOUTF-MIN=55310NT @ 1935UT BOUTF-AVG=55333NT BOUTF-MAX=55340NT @ 0133UT GOES7-MAX=P:+000NT@ 0000UT GOES7-MIN=N:+000NT@ 0000UT G7-AVG=+085,+000,+000 GOES6-MAX=P:+142NT@ 1850UT GOES6-MIN=N:-058NT@ 0707UT G6-AVG=+101,+033,-027 FLUXFCST=STD:095,095;SESC:095,095,095 BAI/PAI-FCST=020,020,020/025,030,025 KFCST=3346 6433 3346 6433 27DAY-AP=057,054 27DAY-KP=4436 6755 4647 6543 WARNINGS=\*GSTRM;\*AURMIDWCH

ALERTS=

!!END-DATA!!

NOTE: The Effective Sunspot Number for 04 MAR 94 was 48.0.

The Full Kp Indices for 04 MAR 94 are: 1+ 1- 10 20 1+ 10 10 10

The 3-Hr Ap Indices for 04 MAR 94 are: 5 3 4 7 5 4 4

Greater than 2 MeV Electron Fluence for 05 MAR is: 2.3E+07

#### SYNOPSIS OF ACT

-----

Solar activity was very low. Region 7682 (S19W68) remains the most significant sunspot group on the disk but has only produced a few subflares (all below C-class) since yesterday. New Region 7685 (S07E23) emerged on the disk.

Solar activity forecast: solar activity is expected to be very low to low. Small C-class flares are possible in 7682.

The geomagnetic field was mostly quiet. Unsettled conditions were observed at some high latitude stations from 05/09-15Z.

Geophysical activity forecast: the geomagnetic field is expected to be quiet to unsettled becoming active to storm due to a coronal hole.

Event probabilities 06 mar-08 mar

Class M 01/01/01 Class X 01/01/01 Proton 01/01/01 PCAF Green

Geomagnetic activity probabilities 06 mar-08 mar

## A. Middle Latitudes

Active 20/20/20 Minor Storm 15/15/25 Major-Severe Storm 15/15/05

## B. High Latitudes

Active 20/20/20 Minor Storm 15/15/25

# Major-Severe Storm 15/15/05

HF propagation conditions were normal over all regions. Conditions are expected to deteriorate over the next several days due to effects from a coronal hole. High and polar latitude paths should see the strongest signal degradation with poor to occasionally very poor conditions existing during the local night hours. Middle latitudes should see good to fair propagation with occasional poor propagation during the local night sectors. Low latitudes should see generally good propagation with periods of fair propagation possible during the local night hours.

COPIES OF JOINT USAF/NOAA SESC SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL REPORTS \_\_\_\_\_\_

## REGIONS WIT

NMBR LOCATION LO AREA Z LL NN MAG TYPE

7678 S11W39 043 0010 BX0 03 005 BET

7680 S10W15 019 0010 BXO 06 005 BET

7682 S19W68 072 0150 CAO 11 016 BET

7684 S09W05 009 0000 AXX 01 003 ALPHA

7685 S07E23 341 0010 BX0 05 007 BET

REGIONS DUE TO RET

NMBR LAT

7673 NO8 267

LISTING OF SOLAR ENERGETIC EVENTS FOR 05 MARCH, 1994

\_\_\_\_\_

BEGIN MAX END RGN LOC XRAY OP 245MHZ 10CM SWEEP NONE

POSSIBLE CORONAL MASS EJECTION EVENTS FOR 05 MARCH, 1994

\_\_\_\_\_

BEGIN MAX END LOCATION TYPE SIZE DUR II IV NO EVENTS OBSERVED

INFERRED CORONAL HOLES. LOCATIONS VALID AT 05/2400Z

\_\_\_\_\_

ISOLATED HOLES AND POLAR EXT

EAST SOUTH WEST NORTH CAR TYPE POL AREA OBSN

67 S34E36 S63E08 S35W39 S21E13 018 EXT

# SUMMARY OF FLARE EVENTS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

Date	_			_	0p	_		2695 MHz	8800 MHz	15.4 GHz
04 Mar:		0148	0201	C1.5						
	0203	0208	0213	C1.8	SF	7682	S18W41			
	0235	0240	0244	C1.3	SF	7682	S18W42			
	0258	0302	0306	C1.6	1F	7682	S18W42			
	0313	0321	0324	C3.6						
	0343	0346	0348	B9.7						
	0358	0406	0419	B9.3						
	0424	0432	0436	C1.1	SF					
	0504	0504	0507		SF	7680	S12E11			
	0516	0519	0521	B8.0						
	0540	0543	0545	B6.4						
	0605	0612	0618	C1.1	SF		S18W47			
	0642	0650	0655	C5.8	1F	7682	S18W46			
	0738	0742	0745	B8.0						
	0749	0800	0803	C1.3						
	0829	0834	0839	B9.8						
	0904	0907	0909	B7.7						
	0914	0924	0948	C3.6						
	1048	1054	1106	C2.3	SF	7682	S19W47			
	1220	1247	1255	C1.2	SF	7682	S18W50			
	1402	1424	1426	B9.1	SF	7682	S19W51			
	1502	1507	1509	B6.4						
	1616	1620	1622	B4.4						
	1624	1627	1629	C1.1	SF	7682	S19W52			
	1634	1637	1639	B6.1	SF	7682	S19W53			
	1717	1723	1732	B4.7						
	1748	1752	1754	B4.0						
	1816	1819	1821	B2.5						
ļ	B1842	U1843	1846		SF	7682	S18W52			
	1853	1856	1858	B5.0						
	1859	1903	1905	C1.3		7682				
	2003	2003	2006		SF	7682	S19W55			
	2043	2046	2048	B9.5						
	2049	2052	2054	C1.7	SF	7682	S17W55			
	2111	2121	2125	B6.2	SF	7682	S18W54			
	2241	2243	2245		SF	7682	S18W57			
	2251	2255	2257	B5.9						
	2333	2343	2348	B4.8						

REGION FLARE STATISTICS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

C M X S 1 2 3 4 Total (%) \_\_ \_\_ \_\_ \_\_ Region 7680: 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 001 (2.6) Region 7682: 11 0 0 15 2 0 0 0 017 (44.7) Uncorrellated: 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 020 (52.6)

Total Events: 038 optical and x-ray.

#### **EVENTS WIT**

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Begin Max End Xray Op Region Locn Sweeps/Optical Observations \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ NO EVENTS OBSERVED.

## NOTES:

All times are in Universal Time (UT). Characters preceding begin, max, and end times are defined as: B = Before, U = Uncertain, A = After. All times associated with x-ray flares (ex. flares which produce associated x-ray bursts) refer to the begin, max, and end times of the x-rays. Flares which are not associated with x-ray signatures use the optical observations to determine the begin, max, and end times.

Acronyms used to identify sweeps and optical phenomena include:

= Type II Sweep Frequency Event II

III = Type III Sweep IV = Type IV Sweep = Type V Sweep

Continuum = Continuum Radio Event Loop = Loop Prominence System,

Spray = Limb Spray,
Surge = Bright Limb Surge,
EPL = Eruptive Prominence on the Limb.

# \*\* End of Daily Report \*\*

Date: Sun, 6 Mar 1994 23:27:25 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!newshub.nosc.mil!news!

Roger.Keating@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: FCC Exec fired for incompetance?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hello.

My original source read this posting and contacted me; one of us misunderstood. The agency involved was not the FCC, but the FAA.

Read the actual story about the FAA in: clari.news.aviation or your newspaper.

PS, some folks responded by email indicating that they had not heard it but didn't find it surprising given the degree to which the FCC is out of date with its computers. :)

Roger Keating keating@nosc.mil

-----

Date: 6 Mar 1994 22:19:23 GMT

From: yale.edu!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!gilbaronw0mn@yale.arpa

Subject: Further criminalization of scanning

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>

>

>In article <1994Mar2.175938.12119@alw.nih.gov>, weisen@alw.nih.gov (Neil Weisenfeld) writes...

>>What I think we should do is write to our congresspeople and tell them why >>we feel the cellular and cordless privacy laws are so misguided. Even if >>they outlaw the manufacture of scanners that can receive cordless >>(and I haven't heard anyone say that that is what is up for debate --

>>only outlawing \*listening\*, not manufacture), those radios are going to be

>>around for a long, long time as used equipment.

>Been there, done that. My Congressman ignored my letter. The law didn't pass on

>his watch, so, in the finest tradition of politicians, I guess he feels no >obligation to deal with it.

>Amen to the long, long time. I just tuned up my old BC220 table-top scanner.

>15 years old, 20 channels, and no 800MHz, but still not a bad radio if your >city hasn't gone to trunked public service.

>>I'd be interested if other people agree.

>

>Yup. These laws, if I'm not misinformed, are unique in recent history in that

>up until their enactment, it was legal to listen to any waves passing

through

>your home, as long as you didn't act on or disclose what you heard. You are >now a criminal if you choose to \*tune in\* to these particular band segments,

>regardless of what you do with what you hear. More such laws will follow, >since government and regulations never shrink in size.

>-Tom R. N100Q randolph@est.enet.dec.com

>

Sad, very sad. And it does not really protect anyone. In fact it gives too many people a false sense of security. Digital technology with encryption will protect people and that is what we should have. Of course the government is trying to get its dirty summy rotten hands intot hat too. Can you say Clipper. They will pry my key out of my cokld dead hands and no other way.

Get PGP and be safe.

Gil Baron, El Baron Rojo, WOMN Rochester,MN
"Bailar es Vivir"
PGP2.3 key at key servers or upon request

-----

Date: Mon, 7 Mar 1994 00:32:07 GMT

From: netcomsv!netcom.com!tcj@decwrl.dec.com Subject: Further criminalization of scanning

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Neil Weisenfeld (weisen@alw.nih.gov) writes:

- > What I think we should do is write to our congresspeople and tell
- > them why we feel the cellular and cordless privacy laws are so
- > misguided.

Gilbert Baron (gilbaronwOmn@delphi.com) replies:

- > And it does not really protect anyone. In fact it gives too many
- > people a false sense of security.

In light of the current Clipper initiative, it occurs to me that this may, in fact, be their very intention....

Todd, KB6JXT

-----

Date: 5 Mar 94 20:57:12 GMT

From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!

news.unomaha.edu!news.nevada.edu!jimi!envoy!equinox.unr.edu!

dsring@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Icom Package For Sale

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I have the following Icom equipment for sale which I wish to seel only as a package!!!! The radio has a clean bill of health from Icom service, dated 12/28/93. The radio and all of it's accessories are in excellent condition and have been well cared for.

IC W2A (2m/440 Handheld Transciever) HM 65 (Speaker Mic. W/earphone jack) BC 72 (Rapid Charger)

2-BP 84 (Battery Pack, Provides 2 watts) 2-BP 85 (Battery Pack, Provides 5 watts)

AD 20 (Charging Adapter, Used with BP 84)

LC 73 (Radio Case)

BC 77 (Standard Charger {Comes With Radio} )

\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ This would normally run around \$1000.00 NEW \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

I am asking \$600.00 for everything or best offer.

Please contact me at:

Douglas S. Ring Amateur Radio Operator University Of Nevada, Reno KB7QMD-Advanced Class Electrical Engineering Major HZ1AB-Operating Member 

Telephone: (702) 626-5516

Fax: (702) 626-3840 Assalamu Aleikum

(May peace be upon you)

Date: 6 Mar 1994 22:03:31 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!mailer.acns.fsu.edu!

freenet3.scri.fsu.edu!freenet2.scri.fsu.edu!twright@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Information needed on Tandy 4000 computer

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Well first off it has a 3 1/2 and a 5 1/4 floppies and the min of a 40 meg HD with a EGA monitor. I paid \$25.00 for it. Heck the case is worth \$50.00 just to build one.

I powered it up and it booted perfectly. The monitor has full rapture on it before the CPU was powered. After the CPU booted using DOS 5.0 the monitor was showing three boot up listings along with 3 C> promts. These 3 columns (sp) are over lapping and 2 of the 3 promts were fuzzy and only one was clear but it all still over lapped.

I installed Super Morse off of a 3 1/2 and then again off of a 5 1/4. both floppies work perfectly as well as the Hard Drive. The SM program did like the boot up all overlapping. I then installed a game called Space Quest II and the monitor cleared right up and the system ran perfectly. I reinstalled SM and the Monitor went back into the over lapping letters. I connected the monitor to another CPU and it worked perfectly again. So this rules out the monitor.

I am suspecting that the problem lies in the video driver cmds in the DOS 5.0 system program. What brings me to think this is that a game has its own program to run the game which carries its own video driver commands.

If some of you computer experts out there either agree or disagree PLEASE let me know. I want to get this machine up and running on Packet A.S.A.P.

Thanks a bunch

Tim Wright KD40VM

Tim Wright KD40VM | T.Wright@msuacad.morehead.edu | Morehead State University | TWright@freenet.fsu.edu | Tallahassie Freenet Service AR098@yfn.ysu.edu | Youngstown Ohio Freenet Service KD40VM@WSU.N8FOW.AMPR.ORG | Try one, I'll get it.

Date: 3 Mar 94 23:11:11 GMT

From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!munnari.oz.au!newshost.anu.edu.au!sserve!usage!metro!

ipso!rwc@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: IPS Daily Report 03 03 94

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

IPS RADIO AND SPACE SERVICES AUSTRALIA Daily Solar And Geophysical Report

Issued at 2330 UT 3 March 1994

Summary for 3 March and Forecast up to 6 March

IPS Warning 7 was issued on 02 March and is still current.

-----

1A. SOLAR SUMMARY Activity: low

Flares: None

Observed 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 101/49

1B. SOLAR FORECAST

04 March 05 March 06 March

Activity Low Low Low

Fadeouts None expected None expected None expected

Forecast 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 105/54

1C. SOLAR COMMENT

None.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

2A. MAGNETIC SUMMARY

Geomagnetic field at Learmonth : mainly quiet to unsettled levels, apart from one minor storm period.

Estimated Indices : A K Observed A Index 2 March

Learmonth 13 3325 2122

Fredericksburg 17 17 Planetary 28 12

2B. MAGNETIC FORECAST

DATE Ap CONDITIONS

04 Mar 35 Active to minor storm. 05 Mar 35 Active to minor storm.

06 Mar 20 Active.

2C. MAGNETIC COMMENT

Coronal hole induced disturbance expected.

3A. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION SUMMARY

LATITUDE BAND

DATE LOW MIDDLE HIGH

03 Mar normal normal fair-normal

PCA Event : None.

3B. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION FORECAST

# LATITUDE BAND

DATE	LOW	MIDDLE	HIGH
04 Mar	fair	poor	poor
05 Mar	fair	poor	poor
06 Mar	normal	fair	poor

3C. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION COMMENT

Degraded HF comms are expected over the next few days.

.....

# 4A. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC SUMMARY

MUFs at Sydney were about 10% above predicted monthly values

T index: 64

## 4B. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC FORECAST

DATE T-index MUFs

04 Mar 30 Near predicted monthly values.

O5 Mar 15 About 15% below predicted monthly values. About 15% below predicted monthly values.

Predicted Monthly T Index for March is 40.

#### 4C. AUSTRALIAN REGION COMMENT

Communications are expected to become degraded over the next few days.

- -

IPS Regional Warning Centre, Sydney | IPS Radio and Space Services

email: rwc@ips.oz.au | PO Box 5606

tel: +61 2 4148329 | West Chatswood NSW 2057

fax: +61 2 4148331 | AUSTRALIA

------

Date: Mon, 7 Mar 1994 00:41:09 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!csus.edu!netcom.com!

slay@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: JARGON

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Sue Gundersen (ez014553@othello.ucdavis.edu) wrote:

- : I need a little help with an English paper I am writing. The topic I am  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$
- : writing about is jargon used by amateur radio operators. I am already
- : using ham, lids and an abbreviation. What I need is one more word that
- : has an interesting etymology.
- : Does any have any good suggestions? I am stuck and would like another term.
- : Please send me Email

A Japanese friend and fellow DXer recently wrote the following to me:

- > 1. What does "SASE" stand for? Is that "Self Addressed & Stamped Envelop"?
- > I sometimes see "SAE" as well, but I'm not sure the difference. And how
- > do you pronounce "SASE"? Is that "eS-eI-eS-eE" or "Sa-Se" ( like
- > Roma-JI )?
- > 2. Why do they call \$1 note "green stamp"? I think it's because of its
- > color. But I find one side of the \$1 not is not really green,
- > though the other side is. {Try it one side black, the other green}
- > 3. Are "SASE" and "Green Stamp" words only for ham world?
- > 73 de TOMO JH2PDS/1 jh2pds@media.cst.nihon-u.ad.jp

Well - I am not sure if others do use SASE although I often here the expression self-addressed stamped envelope - primarily on TV or something. Green Stamps of course go back to the old Blue Chip and S&H Green Stamps given out at grocery stores and other markets which could later be traded in for various items in an S&H Green Stamp \*department store\* or whatever they were. I presume those outfits are all out of business by now. Hmmmmm - maybe Hams are the only ones using these espressions.

73 de Sandy WA6BXH/7J1ABV slay@netcom.com

-----

Date: 6 Mar 94 05:52:44 GMT

From: netcomsv!netcomsv!lavc!steven.rosenberg@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: NUDE RADIO AMATEURS

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

northup@hoop.sw.stratus.com (Bill Northup) writes:

>Is it time to get into ATV ?

But wouldn't SSTV be more forgiving?

-----

Date: 6 Mar 94 00:29:43 GMT

From: hub.cs.jmu.edu!hearst.acc.Virginia.EDU!ruacad!ddabay@uunet.uu.net

Subject: PostScript log pages wanted

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I am looking for log pages in a postscript format, as well as a

US band chart in PostScript format.....

- -

Dave Dabay Telecommunications Engineer Supervisor KD3PC Radford University Computer Services Internet: ddabay@ruacad.ac.runet.edu

-----

Date: Mon, 7 Mar 1994 01:05:36 GMT

From: netcomsv!netcom.com!tcj@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: RST reports
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

#### I wrote:

- > It seems to me that the five point rating scale used in a SINPO
- > report (signal, interference, noise, propagation, and overall
- > rating) would actually be more valuable on phone than an RS(T)
- > report

Derek Wills (oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu) replies:

> I can't see this catching on - giving 5 numbers for a signal report, > ack!

Agreed, especially if the report is merely an adminstrative formality required for your contest log or DXCC application. Every once in a while I wonder how my signal is \*really\* getting out, however, and I simply intended to comment that I'd find a SINPO report much more informative than an RS(T) report under these circumstances.

- > 599 means "you're loud", "this is a contest", or "I need your OSL
- > card", 579 means "you're pretty strong but I'm not giving you a
- > routine 599", and 559 means "you're weak". Anything else is just
- > pretentious.

You may be onto something, Derek. Maybe the county hunters are on the right track, and what we \*really\* need for most purposes is a 1 by 3 scale. ;-)

BTW, under 599 you left out, "We're up to our knees in penguin guano, freezing our butts off on a frigid little rock in the Antarctic circle, and have neither the time nor inclination to even \*think\* about the quality of your signal. In fact, our computerized logging system doesn't even have a place to enter a report, since the software we'll use to generate your QSL will insert a 599 report automatically."

Todd, KB6J)
-------------

-----